## **POINTWISE CONVERGENCE OF THE ITERATES OF A HARRIS-RECURRENT MARKOV OPERATOR**

## BY SHLOMO HOROWITZ\*

## ABSTRACT

Let P be a Markov operator recurrent in the sense of Harris, with  $\sigma$ -finite invariant measure  $\mu$ . (1) If  $\mu$  is finite and P aperiodic, then for  $f \in L_1(\mu)$ ,  $P^{\prime\prime}f \rightarrow \int f d\mu$  a.e. (2) If  $\mu$  is infinite,  $P^{\prime\prime}f \rightarrow 0$  a.e. for every  $f \in L_p(\mu), 1 \leq p < \infty$ .

Let  $P(x, A)$  be a transition probability on the measurable space  $(X, \Sigma)$ , and denote also by P the operator on  $B(X, \Sigma)$  defined by  $Pf(x) = \int f(y)P(x, dy)$ . P is *Harris-recurrent* if for a  $\sigma$ -finite measure m we have  $m(A) > 0 \Rightarrow$  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P^{n} 1_{A}(x) = \infty$  for *every x.* It is well-known (see, for example, [2], [8]) that if P is Harris-recurrent, then there is a unique  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\mu$ , invariant for P. We then have  $m \ll \mu$ , and also  $\mu(A) > 0 \implies \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P^{n}1_{A}(x) = \infty$  for *every x.* 

The purpose of this note is to prove the following almost everywhere convergence theorems for functions in  $L_p(\mu)$ . The results are known for *bounded* functions in  $L_p(\mu)$  (see, for example, [2], [8], [5]). Harris-recurrence is treated in [2] and [8].

**THEOREM 1.** Let P be Harris-recurrent with finite invariant measure  $\mu$ . If P is *aperiodic, then for every*  $f \in L_1(\mu)$  *we have*  $P^r f(x) \to \int f d\mu$  *a.e.* 

**THEOREM 2.** Let P be Harris recurrent with infinite  $\sigma$ -finite invariant measure  $\mu$ . Then for every  $f \in L_p(\mu)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , we have  $P^n f(x) \to 0$  a.e.

For the proof we need the following lemma, due to Orey [7] (see also [8]).

<sup>\*</sup>Dr. Shlomo Horowitz died before completing the manuscript. He left a draft, to which I added the last paragraph, references, title and abstract. I made only slight modifications in a place or two in the proofs, for clarity (M. Lin).

Received November 1, 1978

LEMMA 1. Let  $\Sigma$  be separable and P Harris-recurrent. Then there exist an *integer* k, a  $\Sigma \times \Sigma$  *measurable function*  $q(x, y) \ge 0$ *, and sets*  $B, C \in \Sigma$  (with  $\mu(B)\mu(C)$  > 0), *such that*:

- (a)  $P^k \ge 0 > 0$ , where  $Qf(x) = \int q(x, y)f(y)\mu(dy)$ .
- (b) inf{ $q(x, y)$ :  $x \in B$ ,  $y \in C$ } =  $\alpha > 0$ .
- (c) *pk is Harris-recurrent.*

As a consequence of this lemma, we get that  $P^k \ge Q \ge \alpha \mathbb{1}_B \otimes \mu I_c$ .

The following lemmas are well-known. The case  $U = PI_A$  is shown in [2], but the proof is valid for the general case.

LEMMA 2. If  $P > U > 0$ , then  $U^*1 \downarrow 0$  a.e.

PROOF. U<sup>n</sup> 1 decreases, so let U<sup>n</sup> 1  $\downarrow$  h. Then  $Uh = h \Rightarrow Ph \ge h \Rightarrow Ph = h$ , so  $h =$  const, since P is conservative and ergodic in  $L_{\infty}(\mu)$ . Hence  $Uh = h = c1$ , and, if  $c \neq 0$ ,  $U1 = 1$ . Since  $P > U$ , we have a contradiction. Hence  $c = 0$ .

LEMMA 3. If  $P > U > 0$ , then  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (P-U)^n U 1 = 1$  a.e.

PROOF. Since  $U = P - (P - U)$ , we have

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{N} (P-U)^{n} U1 = \sum_{n=0}^{N} (P-U)^{n} 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{N+1} (P-U)^{n} 1 = 1 - (P-U)^{N+1} 1.
$$

Let  $N \rightarrow \infty$  and apply Lemma 2 (to  $P - U$ ).

LEMMA 4. Let  $P > U > 0$ . If  $0 \le f \in L_p(\mu)$ ,  $1 \le p < \infty$  (and  $\mu P = \mu$ ), then  $(P-U)^{n}f\rightarrow 0$  a.e.

**PROOF.** The proof of Lemma 2 shows that  $P-U$  has no superinvariant functions in  $L_{\infty}$ . Hence  $P-U$  is either dissipative or conservative. Since  $\mu(P - U) \leq \mu$ , the same applies to  $(P - U)g$  as an operator of  $L_1(\mu)$  ([2, p. 76]), and by Lemma 3 we must have that  $P-U$  is dissipative. Hence, for  $0 \leq$  $f\in L_1(\mu)$  we have  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (P-U)^n f < \infty$  a.e., hence  $(P-U)^n f \rightarrow 0$  a.e. If  $0 \le$  $f \in L_p(\mu)$ , then  $f \leq 1 + (f-1)^+$ , with  $(f-1)^+ \in L_1(\mu)$ . Hence

$$
(P-U)^{n} f \leq (P-U)^{n} 1 + (P-U)^{n} (f-1)^{+} \to 0 \quad \text{a.e.}
$$

**LEMMA 5.** Let P be Harris-aperiodic with finite invariant measure  $\mu$ , and let  $\Sigma$ *be separable. If*  $C \in \Sigma$ *, and*  $f \in L_1(\mu)$  satisfies  $\int f d\mu = 0$ *, then*  $\int P^r f \cdot 1_C d\mu \rightarrow 0$ *.* 

PRooF. The Harris-recurrence condition implies, by Lemma 1, the Harris condition as given in [2], and the dual Markov operator  $P^*$  is also aperiodic Harris [2], and  $P^{*n}1_c \rightarrow \mu(C)$  a.e. ([2], [5]). Hence

$$
\int P^{n}f \cdot 1_{c} d\mu = \int f P^{*n} 1_{c} d\mu \rightarrow \mu(C) \int f d\mu = 0.
$$

LEMMA 6. Let P be Harris with infinite  $\sigma$ -finite invariant measure  $\mu$ , and let  $\Sigma$ *be separable. If*  $C \in \Sigma$  with  $\mu(C) < \infty$ , and  $0 \leq f \in L_p(\mu)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , then  $\int P^{n}f\cdot 1_{c}d\mu\rightarrow 0.$ 

**PROOF.** If  $f \in L_1(\mu)$ , the proof is as before, except that  $P^{*n}1_c \rightarrow 0$  a.e. If  $f\in L_p(\mu)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , then for  $\varepsilon > 0$  write  $f = g + h$ , with  $g \in L_1(\mu)$  and  $||h||_p < \varepsilon$ . Then  $\int P^{\prime\prime}g \cdot 1_C d\mu \to 0$ , and  $0 \leq \int P^{\prime\prime}h \cdot 1_C d\mu \leq \varepsilon \mu(C)^{1/q}$  (with  $q = p/(p-1)$ , since P is a contraction of  $L_p(\mu)$ . The lemma now follows.

The next lemma is an abstraction of the "first entrance formula", and can be proved by induction.

LEMMA 7. *Let a, b be elements of a ring. Then* 

$$
a^{n} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (a-b)^{k}ba^{n-1-k} + (a-b)^{n}.
$$

PROOF OF THEOREMS 1 AND 2. We first assume that  $\Sigma$  is separable, and Lemma 1 can be applied. We may assume that in Lemma 1,  $k = 1$  (otherwise we prove the theorem for  $P^k$ , and then apply it to the functions  $P^j f$ ,  $0 \le j \le k - 1$ ).

Choose in Lemma 7,  $a = P$ ,  $b = \alpha 1_B \otimes \mu I_c$ , and obtain

$$
P^{\prime\prime}f(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left(P-\alpha 1_B\otimes\mu I_C\right)^k\alpha 1_B(x)\int P^{n-1-k}f\cdot 1_Cd\mu+(P-\alpha 1_B\otimes\mu I_C)^{\prime\prime}f(x).
$$

For Theorem 1 we assume, w.l.g.,  $\int f d\mu = 0$ . By Lemma 4 the last term tends to 0. Since  $\mu(C) < \infty$ , by Lemmas 5 and 6  $\int P^{n}f \cdot 1_{c} d\mu \rightarrow 0$ , and, by Lemma 3,

$$
\mu(C)\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (P-\alpha 1_B \otimes \mu I_C)^k \alpha 1_B(x) = 1.
$$

After excluding a set of measure 0, for  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $x \in X$  there is an N such that

$$
\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} (P - \alpha 1_B \otimes \mu I_C)^k \alpha 1_B(x) < \varepsilon,
$$

and

$$
\int P^r f \cdot 1_C d\mu < \varepsilon \qquad \text{for } n > N.
$$

Then, for  $n > 2N$ , we have

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{N} (P - \alpha 1_B \otimes \mu I_C)^k \alpha 1_B(x) \int P^{n-1-k} f \cdot 1_C d\mu < \varepsilon \sum_{k=0}^{N} (P - \alpha 1_B \otimes \mu I_C)^k \alpha 1_B(x) \leq \varepsilon / \mu(C),
$$

and

$$
\sum_{k=N+1}^{n-1} (P-\alpha 1_B \otimes \mu I_C)^k \alpha 1_B(x) \int P^{n-1-k} f \cdot 1_C d\mu \leq \varepsilon \|f\|_p \|1_C\|_q,
$$

and the theorems are proved, in case that  $\Sigma$  is separable.

For the case that  $\Sigma$  is not separable, we take the *admissible*  $\sigma$ -field,  $\Sigma' \subset \Sigma$  such that f is  $\Sigma'$  measurable,  $\Sigma'$  is separable, and  $B(X, \Sigma')$  is invariant for P (see [1, p. 209]).

REMARKS. (1) If we deal with the abstract Harris condition, as used in [2], we can get to the Harris-recurrence condition via the method in [3], or (after reduction to the separable case, as above) via [4].

(2) Theorem 2 is given a different proof in [6, theor. 3]. The assumption there for our Theorem 2 is also of aperiodicity (with only a sketch of proof). The approach in this note is simpler.

## **REFERENCES**

1. J. L. Doob, *Stochastic Processes,* Wiley, New York, 1953.

2. S. R. Foguel, *Ergodic Theory of Markov Processes,* Van Nostrand-Reinhold, New York, 1969.

3. S. Horowitz, *Transition probabilities and contractions of L~, Z.* Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie 24 (1972), 263-274.

4. N. C. Jain, *A note on invariant measures,* Ann. Math. Statist. 37 (1966), 729-732.

5. N. C. Jain, *Some limit theorems for a general Markov process,* Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie 6 (1966), 206-223.

6. E. Nummelin and R. L. Tweedie, *Geometric ergodicity and R-positivity for general Markov chains,* Ann. Probability, to appear.

7. S. Orey, *Recurrent Markov chains,* Pacific J. Math. 9 (1959), 805-827.

8. S. Orey, *Lecture Notes on Limit Theorems for Markov Chain Transition Probabilities,* Van Nostrand, New York, 1971.

TEL AVlV UNIVERSITY RAMAT AvIv TEL AvIv, ISRAEL